

【火山引擎...】

[ICML 202...



收信

写信

<< 返回

回复

回复全部

转发

删除

举报

拒收

标记为

移动

已为您自动生成昨日的工作回顾

昨天收到6封邮件、其中0封已读、6

封未读。点击查看未读邮件概要

暂不处理

点击查看

安全

[ICML 2027] Invitation to serve as Area Chair

发件人: ICML 2027<icml2027-notifications@openreview.net> +

(由 bounces+3069401-465e-bai_chenjia=163.com@em9666.openreview.net 代发, 帮助)

收件人: 我<bai_chenjia@163.com>

时 间: 2026年10月21日 11:19 (星期三)

翻译成中文

Dear Chenjia Bai,

We invite you to serve as an area chair (AC) for [ICML 2027](#) based on your expertise in important sub-areas of machine learning and your prior experience in the peer review process. We sincerely hope you will accept this invitation and help us make ICML 2027 a success.

This year, we aim to make the work of area chairs (ACs) easier with the help of AI tools, while giving the role more responsibility and importance in the review process.

In particular, we want to give ACs access to AI tools that scan submissions (formatting and anonymity checks, summaries of claims and evidence) and summarize reviews and discussions. We will only make them available if they meet our standards for reliability and confidentiality. Their purpose is to save you time; all decisions remain with ACs, senior area chairs (SACs) and program chairs, and submissions remain confidential under ICML's reviewing policies.

The added responsibility comes from a new first stage in the review process. In this first stage, you will look at a batch of 12–14 submissions and, for each one, either send it to full review or propose a first-stage rejection if it would clearly not be accepted. Each proposed first-stage rejection must be confirmed by your SAC; if there is any doubt, the paper goes to full review. In the second stage, the papers that go to full review follow the usual process, with reviews, author rebuttal, discussion and meta-reviews. Since you will only handle these papers in the second stage, you should have fewer papers to follow during the reviewing period than in previous years.

The responsibilities of an AC for ICML are as follows:

- In the first stage, decide with the help of AI tools which of your 12–14 submissions go to full review, and write a short justification for each proposed first-stage rejection.
- Oversee the peer review process for your submissions that go to full review:
 - Verify suitability of assigned reviewers.
 - Work with reviewers to ensure timeliness and appropriateness of reviews.
 - Facilitate discussions with reviewers.
 - Solicit additional reviewers as needed.
 - Write meta-reviews and render accept/reject recommendations.
- Meet/work with senior area chairs (SACs) as needed to review and resolve borderline cases.

As part of registration, ACs will be asked if they would be willing to serve on the position paper track. Program chairs in collaboration with position paper track chairs will assign each AC to either the main track or the position paper track. Only the ACs that indicate their willingness to serve on the position paper track will be considered for that track. All ACs, regardless of the track, will be recognized equivalently by the conference. The position paper track will have different reviewing criteria, but will otherwise follow the same timeline as the main track.

Here is a tentative timeline of the reviewing process:

- Abstract registration: January 14, 2027
- AC bidding: January 20–25, 2027
- Full paper submission: January 22, 2027
- First stage (AC triage): January 29–February 11, 2027
- Reviewer assignments: February 12–23, 2027
- Reviewing period: February 24–March 16, 2027
- Emergency reviewing period: March 17–30, 2027
- Author rebuttal and author–reviewer discussion: March 31–April 13, 2027
- AC discussion and meta-review period: April 14–22, 2027

- 收件箱
- 最近查看
- 红旗邮件
- 待办邮件
- 智能标签
- 星标联系人邮件
- 草稿箱 (1)
- 已发送
- 订阅邮件
- 其他4个文件夹
- 邮件标签
- 邮箱中心
- 超大附件
- 邮箱附件
- 其他工具
- 邮件追踪
- 简历优化
- 智能面试顾问
- 求职信息订阅
- PDF转换工具
- 发票助手 new
- 企业邮箱